Recent developments on the chemical evolution of the Milky Way disks

Galactic chemical evolution with yields from rotating massive stars NP, C. Abia, M. Limongi, A. Chieffi, S. Cristallo 2018,2019

Evolution of the Milky Way with radial motions of stars and gas M. Kubryk, NP, L. Athanassoula 2015a,b

Stellar vs Galactic evolution

Galaxy

Star

Driver of evolution	Nuclear reaction rates f(T,ρ,X), Mostly measured, Well known	Star Formation Rate SFR = f(Gas) Poorly known			
Main observational constraints	Hertszprung–Russel diagram Well observed in detail <i>Well understood</i>	Hubble diagram , Fundamental plane (?) <i>Not yet understood</i>			
Boundary	Zero Age Main Sequence	Cosmological fluctuation spectrum			
conditions	Formation / Environment unimportant (close binaries?)	Formation / Environment important : gas/star accretion; galaxy interactions			
transform Rather a fram of abu	Galactic Chemical En ation of the chemical com <i>Not (yet) a the</i> nework allowing us to inter ndance patterns in stars,	volution: position of gas and stars ory: pret the vast amount of data galaxies and the ISM			



Galactic Chemical Evolution (GCE)

Main ingredients of Galactic Chemical Evolution models

Stellar properties

(function of mass M and metallicity Z)

- Lifetimes
- Yields (quantities of elements ejected)
- Masses of residues (WD, NS, BH)
- Rates of binary collisions

Collective Stellar Properties

- Star Formation Rate (SFR)
- Initial Mass Function (IMF)

Gas Flows

- Infall
- Outflow
- Radial inflow (in disks)

From theory of Stellar evolution and nucleosynthesis

Scale: STARS (10⁶ km)

Observations Phenomenological recipes + Theoretical arguments

Scale: STAR FORMING REGIONS (10s-100s pc)

Observationally and Theoretically motivated

Scale: GALACTIC AND CIRCUMGALACTIC MEDIUM (several kpc)



Mass loss and Rotation affect the yields of « light » elements CNO, F, Ne22, but also Weak s-process (Sr peak) produced in the H and He layers

Rotation

Mixes protons in He-burning regions and products of H- and He-burning, boosting production of CNO, F, Ne22, Weak s-process and turning *« secondary »* elements into *« quasi-primary »* ones (N,F,s-)

The explosion mechanism « details » (energetics, mixing and fall-back, mass-cut) affects the yields of elements produced near the core, fom Cr to Zn (Fe-peak)

Simple (1-zone) Evolution of Solar neighborhood: T – 4.5 Gy







NP+2018 Chemical evolution with yields of rotating mas. stars Rot vs Non Rot Alpha/Fe evolution OK

> **Primary N ~OK** (Chiapinni et al. 2006)

Primary F

Mn, Cu: OK Mg, Al: ~underproduced

Ti: *observ.* : like alpha *theory.* : like Fe

K, Sc, V, Zn: not OK Zn: hypernovae?

Ni: problem with W7 model



NP+2019 r- fraction: Reevaluating the r-residuals by a bootstrap method







The Origin of the Solar System Elements



Graphic created by Jennifer Johnson

Astronomical Image Credits: ESA/NASA/AASNova



33	Bi	209						
32	Pb	204	206	207	208			
31	ΤI	203	205					
30	Hg	196	198	199	200	201	202	204
79	Aŭ	197						
78	Pt	190	192	194	195	196	198]
77	lr	191	193					
76	0s	184	186	187	188	189	190	192
75	Re	185	187					
74	W	180	182	183	184	186		
73	Ta	180	181				-	
72	Ηf	174	176	177	178	179	180	
71	Lu	175	176					
70	Yb	168	170	171	172	173	174	176
59	Tm	169						
58	Er	162	164	166	167	168	170	
57	Но	165						_
66	Dy	156	158	160	161	162	163	164
65	Τb	159						
54	Gd	152	154	155	156	157	158	160
53	Eu	151	153]				
52	Sm	144	147	148	149	150	152	154
60	Nd	142	143	144	145	146	148	150
59	Pr	141						
58	Ce	136	138	140	142]		

Inadequacy of the simple models for the solar neighborhood 1. Age-metallicity relation in the solar neighborhood



2. The metallicity distribution

Old AND young stars of both high and low metallicities

the most metallic stars (2-3 Z⊙)
cannot be LOCAL
they are NOT the youngest

Little metallicity evolution in the past 10 Gyr Sizeable dispersion \pm 0.2 dex (~60% at 1 σ) at all ages

Nieva+Przybilla (2012) nearby B-stars: SOLAR composition Fluctuations of less than 10% (0.04 dex) from the mean

Same for local gas: SOLAR to ~4% (Cartledge et al. 2006)





Thin vs Thick disks: differences in age, morphology, kinematics and chemistry Origin(s) of thick disk: early merger(s), formation in highly turbulent medium, role of radial migration...

Stellar orbits change through interactions with inhomogeneities of gravitational potential (molecular clouds, spiral arms, bar)

THIN DISK (< 9 Gy)

BULGE

THICK DISK (> 9 Gy)

Resonant interactions at corotation may induce radial mixing of stars far beyond what is expected from simple epicyclic motion

Sellwood and Binney 2002



<u>Kubryk, NP, Athanassoula (AA 2015a,b):</u> 1D semi-analytical model

- Parametrized infall in a DM halo of 1.3 $10^{12} \text{ M}_{\odot}$
- inside-out disk formation, Star Formation Rate from H2,
- Stellar Initial Mass Function from Kroupa (2001),
- radial motions of gas (radial inflow)
- radial migration of stars (inspired from N-body disk simulations), blurring+churning
- detailed chemical evolution
- [H to Zn, with Z-dependent yields from massive Nomoto+2006 and LIM (Karakas2010) stars
- observed Delayed Time Distribution for SNIa rate,
- [P+2019: H to Pb from Rotating massive stars (LC2018) and LIM (Cristallo+2015) stars]







Stars in the local thick disk and in the metal-rich thin disk mostly from the inner disk (3-4 kpc) with more rapid star formation







AMBRE project ~7000 stars (Nice group, Mikolaitis+2016 Guiglion+2018)

Thick Thin

Other projects GAIA/ESO survey LAMOST APOGEE



AMBRE project ~7000 stars (Nice group, Mikolaitis+2016 Guiglion+2018)

2-branch behaviour expected for ratios of elements with sources evolving on different timescales or having different metallicity dependences

Not expected for e.g. Ba/Fe (similar timescales)

The evolution of Li in the thin and thick disks

(NP, de Laverny, Guiglion, Recio-Blanco, Worley 2017) At least three sources of Li:



AMBER data (*Guiglion et al. 2016*) suggest different sequences of evolution for the thick and thin disks



SUMMARY

Stellar yields still uncertain, by factors of >2 (better for alpha elements) they should be used with caution (AND tested to Solar before use)

New method for determining r-residuals from galactic chemical evolution

Wealth of forthcoming observations in MW will help, reducing statistical uncertainties (but what about systematics?), thus constraining the yields (and stellar physics)

BIMODALITY (thin/thick) in abundance patterns: Only well established α/Fe vs Fe/H

No « absolute » (i.e. including timescales) « template » exists for abundance patterns: stellar ages will help with that

2-branch behaviour expected for ratios of elements with sources evolving on different timescales or having different metallicity dependences; for some elements, 2-branch behaviour not expected (e.g. Ba/Fe)

Li/H shows clearly a 2-branch behaviour; it probably has a stellar source with timescale longer than Fe